

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginsa 22313-1450 www.spile.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/900,384	07/06/2001	Shekhar Kirani	6783P010	7192	
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP 1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			BENGZON, GREG C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2444		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/12/2009	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 09/900,384
 KIRANI ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 GREG BENGZON
 2444

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) GREG BENGZON. (3)Eric Repoale, Rea.No. 52161. (2) \_\_\_\_\_. (4)\_\_\_\_. Date of Interview: 05 February 2009. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_ Claim(s) discussed: Claim 1. Identification of prior art discussed: Bakshi. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Atty. Repogle discussed salient features of the invention and requested clarification regarding disclosure by Bakshi. Examiner Bengzon provided clarification and suggestion for possibly improving the claim language. There was no discussion and no agreement regarding allowable subject matter. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS

GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2444